UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

GURWINDER SINGH,) CASE NO. 3:22-CV-1612	
Petitioner,))) JUDGE BRIDGET MEEHAN BRENN	VAN
v.) JOBGE BRIDGET WEELING BREW	17 11
ROBERT LYNCH, JR., et al.,	ORDER	
Respondents.))	

Currently pending before the Court is Respondents Robert Lynch, Jr., Merrick Garland, and Alejandro Majorkas' motion to dismiss Petitioner Gurwinder Singh's petition for habeas corpus for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. (*See* Doc. No. 3.) Singh has not filed an opposition and the time for doing so has passed. For the reasons that follow, the Court GRANTS Respondents' motion.

On February 24, 2016, Singh was ordered removed from the United States. (Doc. No. 1 at PageID# 4.) On March 2, 2022, Singh was taken into immigration custody. (*Id.*) Singh filed his petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 requesting release from immigration detention on September 12, 2022. (*Id.* at PageID# 1.) Singh's sole claim for relief was to be released from immigration custody pending his removal. (*Id.* at PageID# 7.) Singh was removed to India on November 1, 2022. (Doc. No. 4 at ¶ 4.) On November 29, 2022, Respondents filed their motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction arguing that dismissal is appropriate because Singh was removed from the United States on November 1, 2022. (*See* Doc. No. 3 at PageID# 28; Doc. No. 4 ¶ 4.)

Case: 3:22-cv-01612-BMB Doc #: 5 Filed: 02/03/23 2 of 2. PageID #: 39

Generally, in order for a district court to have jurisdiction over a petitioner's habeas

claims, the petitioner must be in custody. Prieto v. Gluch, 913 F.2d 1159, 1162 (6th Cir. 1990).

A petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging ongoing government detention pending removal

is rendered moot by the petitioner's removal from the United States. Enazeh v. Davis, 107 F.

App'x 489, 491 (6th Cir. 2004); see also Ibrahim v. Sessions, No. 4:18-CV-122, 2018 WL

3548827, at *1 (N.D. Ohio July 24, 2018) ("Because Petitioner has been removed from the

United States and therefore released from ICE custody, no live case or controversy exists over

which a district court may assert jurisdiction."). Because Singh was successfully removed from

the United States on November 1, 2022, his sole claim for relief is no longer a live controversy

which this Court has jurisdiction. See, e.g., Conteh v. Barr, No. 4:18-CV-1357, 2019 WL

1440870, at *1 (N.D. Ohio April 1, 2019) (adopting report and recommendation granting

government's motion to dismiss because petitioner's claim was moot upon his deportation).

For those reasons, the Court GRANTS Respondents' motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 3).

Singh's petition for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. No. 1) is DENIED and hereby dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: February 3, 2023

BRIDGET MEEHAN BRENNAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE